

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION-NO.
10/502,319	04/11/2005	Kenji Yoshida	042251	3363
38834 7590 05/01/2007 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER	
			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2876	•

			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		3/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/502,319	YOSHIDA, KENJ	JI.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communic		1	ddress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or ex	AILING DATE OF THIS COMING TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however unication. It is period will apply and will expire SIX will, by statute, cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .		
	b) This action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except for forma	al matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	` `		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requireme	nt.	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	t	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 July 2004 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to			• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the att	lached Office Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority d			
3. Copies of the certified copies o			l Stage
application from the Internation	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copie	s not received.	
•	,		
Attachment(s)	🗖		
I) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	4) <u></u> Inte 'O-948) Par	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Not	ice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	er:	

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

The instant application is a national stage entry of PCT/JP03/03162 filed on March 17, 2003.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within **the range of 50 to 150 words**. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. **The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided**. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,319

Art Unit: 2876

5. Regarding claim 1, the term "etc." renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s)

include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "etc."), thereby rendering the

Page 3

scope of the claim(s) unascertainable.

6. Claims 1-15 are deemed indefinite since claim 1 is written in a manner that does not

allow for full and definite disclosure of the claimed invention. The claim, particularly the

limitation starting with "by setting said key dot", is unclear.

Furthermore, the punctuation (e.g. comma placement) and usage of pronouns including

'they', 'it', and 'this' within the claims do not lend to a proper and definite interpretation of the

claims.

However, for examination purposes, a prior art rejection will be set forth in view of the

examiner's interpretation of claim 1 to be drawn toward an information input and output method

by use of a dot pattern characterized in that, on a medium surface of a printed material a plurality

of lattice dots are disposed in a rectangular shape and set as a block, and a dot within the pattern

is shifted in a manner so as to make the shifted dot a key dot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2876

8. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olsson et al. (US 6,732,927) (hereinafter referred to as 'Olsson').

Olsson teaches

an information input and output method by use of a dot pattern characterized in that,
on a medium surface of a printed material, a plurality of lattice dots are disposed
in a rectangular shape and set as a block, and

the blocks are regularly and continuously disposed, and such a dot that 1 piece of the lattice dots which exists in the block was disposed by being shifted unidirectionally is set as a key dot, and

by setting said key dot as a representative point, they are disposed at a circumference of the key dot, and by setting a center which was surrounded by the lattice dots of 4 points as a hypothetical point, and by setting this as a start point, at an end point which was represented by a vector, a plurality of information dots which have various information recognized are arranged in accordance with a predetermined rule by a dot code generation algorithm to thereby generate a dot pattern, and

a block which configures said dot pattern is imported as image data by a camera, and, from a numerical value which was calculated by digitizing this, information, a program are outputted (col. 5, line 51-col. 6, line 34).

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

amara A. Franklin

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

JAF April 26, 2007